



Henvey Inlet Wind LP

Henvey Inlet Wind

Transmission Line Environmental Review Report – Addendum #1

Henvey Inlet Wind LP

Henvey Inlet Wind

Transmission Line Environmental Review Report – Addendum #1

Prepared by:

AECOM

5080 Commerce Boulevard
Mississauga, ON, Canada L4W 4P2
www.aecom.com

905 238 0007

905 238 0038

tel

fax

Project Number:

60341251

Date:

August 2016

Statement of Qualifications and Limitations

The attached Report (the “Report”) has been prepared by AECOM Canada Ltd. (“AECOM”) for the benefit of the Client (“Client”) in accordance with the agreement between AECOM and Client, including the scope of work detailed therein (the “Agreement”).

The information, data, recommendations and conclusions contained in the Report (collectively, the “Information”):

- is subject to the scope, schedule, and other constraints and limitations in the Agreement and the qualifications contained in the Report (the “Limitations”);
- represents AECOM's professional judgement in light of the Limitations and industry standards for the preparation of similar reports;
- may be based on information provided to AECOM which has not been independently verified;
- has not been updated since the date of issuance of the Report and its accuracy is limited to the time period and circumstances in which it was collected, processed, made or issued;
- must be read as a whole and sections thereof should not be read out of such context;
- was prepared for the specific purposes described in the Report and the Agreement; and
- in the case of subsurface, environmental or geotechnical conditions, may be based on limited testing and on the assumption that such conditions are uniform and not variable either geographically or over time.

AECOM shall be entitled to rely upon the accuracy and completeness of information that was provided to it and has no obligation to update such information. AECOM accepts no responsibility for any events or circumstances that may have occurred since the date on which the Report was prepared and, in the case of subsurface, environmental or geotechnical conditions, is not responsible for any variability in such conditions, geographically or over time.

AECOM agrees that the Report represents its professional judgement as described above and that the Information has been prepared for the specific purpose and use described in the Report and the Agreement, but AECOM makes no other representations, or any guarantees or warranties whatsoever, whether express or implied, with respect to the Report, the Information or any part thereof.

Without in any way limiting the generality of the foregoing, any estimates or opinions regarding probable construction costs or construction schedule provided by AECOM represent AECOM's professional judgement in light of its experience and the knowledge and information available to it at the time of preparation. Since AECOM has no control over market or economic conditions, prices for construction labour, equipment or materials or bidding procedures, AECOM, its directors, officers and employees are not able to, nor do they, make any representations, warranties or guarantees whatsoever, whether express or implied, with respect to such estimates or opinions, or their variance from actual construction costs or schedules, and accept no responsibility for any loss or damage arising therefrom or in any way related thereto. Persons relying on such estimates or opinions do so at their own risk.

Except (1) as agreed to in writing by AECOM and Client; (2) as required by-law; or (3) to the extent used by governmental reviewing agencies for the purpose of obtaining permits or approvals, the Report and the Information may be used and relied upon only by Client.


AECOM accepts no responsibility, and denies any liability whatsoever, to parties other than Client who may obtain access to the Report or the Information for any injury, loss or damage suffered by such parties arising from their use of, reliance upon, or decisions or actions based on the Report or any of the Information (“improper use of the Report”), except to the extent those parties have obtained the prior written consent of AECOM to use and rely upon the Report and the Information. Any injury, loss or damages arising from improper use of the Report shall be borne by the party making such use.

This Statement of Qualifications and Limitations is attached to and forms part of the Report and any use of the Report is subject to the terms hereof.

AECOM: 2015-04-13
© 2009-2015 AECOM Canada Ltd. All Rights Reserved.

AECOM: 2012-01-06
© 2009-2012 AECOM Canada Ltd. All Rights Reserved.

AECOM Signatures



Report Prepared By:

Tiffany Lobb, B.A. (Hons.),
Communications and Consultation Specialist



Report Reviewed By:

Kyle Hunt, M.E.Des., H.BES
Senior Environmental Planner

Table of Contents

| | page |
|---|-----------|
| 1. Introduction and Background..... | 1 |
| 1.1 Overview..... | 1 |
| 1.2 O.Reg 116/01 Addendum Provisions | 3 |
| 2. Description of Changes to the Transmission Alignment..... | 6 |
| 3. Potential Environmental Effects and Mitigation | 13 |
| 4. Addendum Consultation Requirements | 18 |
| 5. References..... | 19 |

List of Figures

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 1-1: Transmission Line Realignment Overview..... | 2 |
| Figure 2-1: Transmission Line Realignment 1 (Map-01) | 7 |
| Figure 2-2: Transmission Line Realignment 2 (Map-02) | 8 |
| Figure 2-3: Transmission Line Realignment 3 (Map-03) | 9 |
| Figure 2-4: Transmission Line Realignment 4 (Map-04) | 10 |
| Figure 2-5: Transmission Line Realignment 5 (Map-05) | 11 |
| Figure 2-6: Transmission Line Realignment 6 (Map-06) | 12 |

List of Tables

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1-1: Screening Criteria (Appendix C of the Guide)..... | 3 |
| Table 2-1: Assessment of Proposed Realignments | 6 |
| Table 3-1: Potential Effects and Proposed Mitigation Measures - Construction / Decommissioning | 13 |
| Table 3-2: Potential Effects and Proposed Mitigation Measures – Operation | 16 |

List of Acronyms and Glossary

| | |
|------------------|--|
| AECOM..... | AECOM Canada Ltd. |
| ANSI..... | Area of Natural and Scientific Interest |
| BMPs | Best management practices |
| ELC | Ecological Land Classification |
| FIT..... | Feed-in-Tariff |
| HIFN..... | Henvey Inlet First Nation |
| HIFN I.R.#2..... | Henvey Inlet First Nation Reserve No. 2 |
| HIW | Henvey Inlet Wind LP |
| HIWEC | Henvey Inlet Wind Energy Centre |
| HONI | Hydro One Networks Inc. |
| EMF | Electromagnetic fields |
| ER | Environmental Review |
| ERR | Environmental Review Report |
| ESA..... | Environmentally Significant Area |
| IESO | Independent Electrical System Operator |
| kV..... | Kilovolts |
| m | metre |
| MOECC..... | Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change |
| MTO | Ontario Ministry of Transportation |
| MW..... | megawatt |
| Nigig..... | Nigig Power Corporation |
| OPA | Ontario Power Authority |
| PPS..... | Provincial Policy Statement |
| PSW..... | Provincially Significant Wetland |
| ROW | Right-Of-Way |
| SAR..... | Species at Risk |
| SS | Switching Station |

1. Introduction and Background

1.1 Overview


Nigig Power Corporation (Nigig) received a Feed-in-Tariff (FIT) Contract from the Ontario Power Authority (OPA) in 2011 for a 300 megawatt (MW) wind energy generation centre. Henvey Inlet Wind LP (HIW), a limited partnership between Pattern Renewable Holdings Canada ULC and Nigig is proposing to develop the Henvey Inlet Wind Energy Centre (HIWEC), a 300 MW facility on Henvey Inlet First Nation Reserve No. 2 (HIFN I.R. #2).

The HIWEC requires a new off-Reserve Transmission Line to deliver the electricity generated by the HIWEC to the Ontario electricity grid. The proposed off-Reserve Transmission Line is subject to an Environmental Screening Process under O.Reg 116/01 and HIW completed an environmental review (ER) under this process.

The Final Environmental Review Report (ERR), including a review of existing conditions, potential effects, mitigation measures, stakeholder input and a description of consultation activities undertaken during the Environmental Assessment (EA) process was published for public and agency review and comment for 30 days, from September 30 to October 30, 2015. On June 7, 2016 the Minister of the Environment and Climate Change granted HIW permission to proceed with the implementation of the HIW Transmission Line. HIW filed a Statement of Completion for the ERR on June 17, 2016.

Since the publication of the Final ERR in September 2015 there have been several minor changes to the alignment of the Transmission Line (see **Figure 1-1**). The study considered a 50 metre (m) easement for land access; changes that have resulted in the alignment moving outside of this original 50 m easement are documented in this Addendum. All proposed changes outside of the original 50 m easement are within the Transmission Line study area documented in the Final ERR and therefore the environmental effects associated with the changes can be determined using the existing ERR data.

Figure 1-1: Transmission Line Realignment Overview



1.2 O.Reg 116/01 Addendum Provisions

The Guide to Environmental Assessment Requirements for Electricity Projects (the Guide) describes the addendum provisions under O.Reg. 116/01. An addendum is required when a proponent decides that the project should be implemented differently than described in the completed ERR and there are negative environmental effects associated with the changes. According to **Section B.5.2** (page 51) of the Guide “the purpose of the addendum provisions is to require proponents to consider the environmental significance of minor modifications to projects, and to require consultation on changes that are environmentally significant.”

To determine if the proposed changes will result in negative environmental effects, HIW has applied the Screening Criteria (Appendix C of the Guide) to the proposed changes. **Table 1-1** provides the completed Screening Criteria checklist for the proposed alignment changes.

Table 1-1: Screening Criteria (Appendix C of the Guide)

| Criterion | Yes | No | Additional Information |
|--|-----|----|---|
| 1. Surface and Ground Water | | | |
| 1.1 Have negative effects on surface water quality, quantities or flow? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction is proposed away from surface waterbodies but has the potential to affect adjacent waterbodies Surface water quality has the potential to be impacted by sedimentation and/or spills during construction |
| 1.2 Have negative effects on ground water quality, quantity or movement? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential impacts to groundwater quality impacts could occur where excavation is required for tower foundations |
| 1.3 Cause significant sedimentation, soil erosion or shoreline or riverbank erosion on or off site? | | ✓ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Best management practices (BMPs) for vegetation removal near waterbodies will be applied during construction. There is the potential for some sedimentation to occur, however the use of BMPs will avoid significant sedimentation |
| 1.4 Cause potential negative effects on surface or ground water from accidental spills or releases to the environment? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spills of fuels, lubricating oils and other fluids have the potential to occur during construction and operation of the facility |
| 2. Land | | | |
| 2.1 Have negative effects on residential, commercial or institutional land uses within 500 metres of the site? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The alignment of the transmission line requires some private land crossings and could result in negative impacts |
| 2.2 Be inconsistent with the Provincial Policy Statement, provincial land use or resource management plans? | | ✓ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The transmission line is predominantly on Crown land and is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS) and provincial plans The PPS does not apply to any sections of the transmission corridor within First Nation Reserve lands |
| 2.3 Be inconsistent with municipal land use policies, plans and zoning bylaws? | | ✓ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The transmission line is anticipated to be consistent with municipal land use policies and zoning by-laws Municipal policies, plans and zoning by-laws are not applicable to any sections of the transmission corridor within First Nation Reserve lands |
| 2.4 Use hazard lands or unstable lands subject to erosion? | | ✓ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is not anticipated that any transmission components will be located within hazard lands |
| 2.5 Have potential negative effects related to the remediation of contaminated land? | | ✓ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Known contaminated sites will be avoided |
| 3. Air and Noise | | | |
| 3.1 Have negative effects on air quality due to emissions of nitrogen dioxide, sulphur dioxide, suspended particulates, or other pollutants? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diesel and gasoline-powered equipment will be used during construction phase of the Transmission Line Limited use of diesel and gasoline-powered service vehicles will occur during the maintenance phase |
| 3.2 Cause negative effects from the emission of greenhouse gases (CO ₂ , methane)? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Greenhouse gas emissions will be limited to construction equipment during the construction phase of the Transmission Line and service vehicles during the maintenance phase |

Table 1-1: Screening Criteria (Appendix C of the Guide)

| Criterion | Yes | No | Additional Information |
|---|-----|----|---|
| 3.3 Cause negative effects from the emission of dust or odour? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dust will be created during construction of the Transmission Line, but will be limited to areas in the vicinity of the work and is not anticipated to impact other activities |
| 3.4 Cause negative effects from the emission of noise? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise emissions will be temporary in nature and limited to construction equipment during construction phase Any noise generated during operations is expected to be very limited; however there could be some noise associated with the switching station (SS) |
| 4. Natural Environment | | | |
| 4.1 Cause negative effects on rare, threatened or endangered species of flora or fauna or their habitat? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rare, threatened and endangered species have been recorded and confirmed within the study area and the species and their habitat have the potential to be disrupted |
| 4.2 Cause negative effects on protected natural areas such as Area of Natural and Scientific Interests (ANSIs), Environmentally Significant Areas (ESAs) or other significant natural areas? | | ✓ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No ANSIs, ESAs or other protected natural areas are known to occur within the transmission corridor |
| 4.3 ESAs or other significant natural areas? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wetland complexes are found throughout the study area and have the potential to be disrupted during construction activities |
| 4.4 Have negative effects on wildlife habitat, populations, corridors or movement? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wildlife habitat, populations and movement corridors may be affected during construction activities |
| 4.5 Have negative effects on fish or their habitat, spawning, movement or environmental conditions (e.g., water temperature, turbidity, etc.)? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some vegetation removal may be required in shoreline areas and the potential for associated erosion and sedimentation has the potential for negative effects |
| 4.6 Have negative effects on migratory birds, including effects on their habitat or staging areas? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearing of vegetation has the potential to affect migratory birds and their habitat |
| 4.7 Have negative effects on locally important or valued ecosystems or vegetation? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Infrastructure has the potential to be sited in areas that could impact valued ecosystems and vegetation communities |
| 5. Resources | | | |
| 5.1 Result in inefficient (below 40%) use of a non-renewable resource (efficiency is defined as the ratio of output energy to input energy, where output energy includes electricity produced plus useful heat captured)? | | ✓ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Transmission Line is not expected to result in inefficient use of non-renewable resources |
| 5.2 Have negative effects on the use of Canada Land Inventory Class 1-3, specialty crop or locally significant agricultural lands? | | ✓ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Transmission Line is not located on significant agricultural lands |
| 5.3 Have negative effects on existing agricultural production? | | ✓ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Transmission Line is not expected to affect agricultural production |
| 5.4 Have negative effects on the availability of mineral, aggregate or petroleum resources? | | ✓ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Neither construction activities nor the location of the Transmission Line infrastructure are anticipated to impact the availability of mineral, aggregate or petroleum resources |
| 5.5 Have negative effects on the availability of forest resources? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The study area is within the French-Severn Forest Management Unit and will result in some tree clearing for the transmission right-of-way (ROW) |
| 5.6 Have negative effects on game and fishery resources, including negative effects caused by creating access to previously inaccessible areas? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some previously inaccessible areas may become accessible through the creation of the transmission corridor |
| 6. Socio-economic | | | |
| 6.1 Have negative effects on neighbourhood or community character? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transmission Line towers and conductors may alter the community character where the line is in proximity to populated areas |
| 6.2 Have negative effects on local businesses, institutions or public facilities? | | ✓ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local businesses are expected to benefit from an influx in demand for services during the construction phase No long-term effects are anticipated |
| 6.3 Have negative effects on recreation, cottaging or tourism? | | ✓ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The alterations to the visual landscape resulting from the Transmission Line which could impact tourism are expected to be minimal |

Table 1-1: Screening Criteria (Appendix C of the Guide)

| Criterion | Yes | No | Additional Information |
|--|-----|----|--|
| 6.4 Have negative effects related to increases in the demands on community services and infrastructure? | | ✓ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Transmission Line does not require water or wastewater services so no additional demands on community infrastructure will occur |
| 6.5 Have negative effects on the economic base of a municipality or community? | | ✓ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> During construction of the Transmission Line, local suppliers will be used to the extent possible which will generate additional local revenues |
| 6.6 Have negative effects on local employment and labour supply? | | ✓ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local labour will be used to the extent possible during construction activities |
| 6.7 Have negative effects related to traffic? | | ✓ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ontario Ministry of Transportation (MTO) permits will be obtained for access and egress from Highway 69 and Highway 522 The majority of all other road traffic will occur within the transmission ROW or construction/maintenance access roads |
| 6.8 Cause public concerns related to public health and safety? | | ✓ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Public concerns related to safety may include aspects related to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) associated with transmission station and Transmission Lines Current scientific research does not demonstrate that EMFs cause or contribute to adverse health effects |
| 7. Heritage and Culture | | | |
| 7.1 Have negative effects on heritage buildings, structures or sites, archaeological resources, or cultural heritage landscapes? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Transmission Line will be designed to avoid or minimize impacts to areas of cultural importance that were identified through the Traditional Knowledge Study and archaeological assessments |
| 7.2 Have negative effects on scenic or aesthetically pleasing landscapes or views? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transmission line towers and conductors will alter the visual landscapes in some sections of the alignment Most sections follow the existing infrastructure ROW (i.e., Hwy 69 or Hwy 522) and are less likely to have negative effects on the visual landscape |
| 8. Aboriginal | | | |
| 8.1 Cause negative effects on First Nations or other Aboriginal communities? | | ✓ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> First Nation community members will be consulted as part of the EA process |
| 9. Other | | | |
| 9.1 Result in the creation of waste materials requiring disposal? | ✓ | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Waste materials will be created during the construction and maintenance of the Transmission Line Waste materials will be disposed of at an approved facility |
| 9.2 Cause any other negative environmental effects not covered by the criteria outlined above? | | ✓ | N/A |

This Addendum has been prepared since the review of screening criteria indicates potential negative environmental effects associated with the proposed changes. However, the potential effects identified through this evaluation are the same, or similar, to those identified and appropriately mitigated in the Final ERR.

Section 2 provides a description of the six (6) proposed alignment changes and the circumstances necessitating each change. Section 3 provides a summary of the environmental effects associated with the changes, including reference to the appropriate sections of the Final ERR where effects are similar.

2. Description of Changes to the Transmission Alignment

As shown in **Figure 1-1**, there are six (6) alignment changes proposed along the HIW Transmission Line. All changes are within the original study area as defined in the Final ERR so the environmental effects associated with the changes can be determined using the existing ERR data. **Table 2-1** provides a description of each proposed change corresponding with **Figures 2-1** to **2-6** and provides a description of the circumstances necessitating them. **Figures 2-1** through **2-6** provide a detailed illustration of the individual realignments in comparison to the original alignment.

Table 2-1: Assessment of Proposed Realignments

| Description of Realignment | Circumstances Necessitating the Realignment |
|--|---|
| <p>Realignment 1 (Figure 2-1), Southern limit of the Transmission Line, north-east of Otter Lake, south of the Rankin Lake Road and Garden Court intersection.</p> <p>This realignment includes a 125 m extension to the east south-east from the proposed switchyard to connect with the Hydro One Networks Inc. (HONI) corridor.</p> | <p>A connection is required between the southern substation and the existing Hydro One 230 kilovolt (kV) line. The Final ERR mapping did not show this connection. The mapping has been updated to show this connection.</p> |
| <p>Realignment 2 (Figure 2-2), North of the Oaster Park Drive interchange with Highway 69.</p> <p>This realignment is 220 m long with a 55 m shift to the west, closer to the eastern side of the Highway 69 ROW.</p> | <p>The alignment was shifted to eliminate a gap between Highway 69 and the transmission easement.</p> |
| <p>Realignment 3 (Figure 2-3), South of Avis Lake, east of Mill Lake, north of Haines Lake and west of McDougall Road.</p> <p>This realignment is 4 km long to bypass Mill Lake by shifting over 550 m to the east of Mill Lake. This realignment begins near the crossing of Haines Creek by McDougall Road and resumes the previous alignment near the south-western limits of Avis Lake.</p> | <p>Consultation with landowners in the vicinity of Mill Lake indicated a preferred alignment that would avoid crossing the eastern portion of the lake. The alignment was shifted to address landowner feedback.</p> |
| <p>Realignment 4 (Figure 2-4), Southeast of Upper Marsh Lake, north of Nine Mile Lake, running perpendicular to the existing HONI corridor.</p> <p>This realignment is 570 m in length in a zig-zag pattern, with a 275 m realignment to the northeast, a 160 m realignment to the southeast, a 110 m realignment to the northeast and a 25 m realignment to the south-southeast to return to the previous alignment.</p> | <p>Consultation with HONI indicated that they would require perpendicular crossings of the existing 500 kV lines. Due to topographical constraints in this area (steep cliffs), the alignment was designed to cross each of the two HONI lines in slightly different locations.</p> |
| <p>Realignment 5 (Figure 2-5), South of the south-western limits of the Round Lake Provincial Nature Reserve, east of Highway 69 and north of Shebeshekon Lake.</p> <p>This realignment is 1.5 km long with a 175 m shift to the south-west, closer to the eastern side of the Highway 69 ROW.</p> | <p>Consultation with landowners in this area indicated a preferred alignment more closely aligned with Highway 69 and further south of the Round Lake Provincial Park boundary.</p> |
| <p>Realignment 6 (Figure 2-6), South of Naiscoot Lake, east of Highway 69 and north of Moose Lake.</p> <p>This realignment is 770 m long. It was developed to address a private landowner's concern by shifting 225 m to the west, closer to the eastern edge of the Highway 69 ROW.</p> | <p>Preliminary drawings of the MTO corridor for the new Highway 69 alignment showed an off ramp at this location requiring the transmission alignment to divert around the interchange. Subsequent discussions with MTO have confirmed that this interchange will not be part of the final design so the transmission line can follow directly adjacent to the highway ROW.</p> |

Figure 2-1: Transmission Line Realignment 1 (Map-01)




Figure 2-2: Transmission Line Realignment 2 (Map-02)



Figure 2-3: Transmission Line Realignment 3 (Map-03)




Figure 2-4: Transmission Line Realignment 4 (Map-04)




Figure 2-5: Transmission Line Realignment 5 (Map-05)



Figure 2-6: Transmission Line Realignment 6 (Map-06)



3. Potential Environmental Effects and Mitigation

The potential effects and proposed mitigation measures associated with alignment adjustments are described in **Table 3-1** (construction and decommissioning) and **Table 3-2** (operations). Based on a review of the Ecological Land Classification (ELC) data collected within the study area, the proposed alignment changes will affect similar ecological communities to those described in the Final ERR. There are no new ELC communities affected by the proposed changes. Additionally, all proposed construction, operations and decommissioning activities are the same as those described in the Final ERR. Since there are no new ELC communities affected and project activities are consistent with those described in the Final ERR, all potential effects associated with the changes are similar to those identified in the Final ERR and are not considered significant as per the assessment presented in the Final ERR. **Tables 3-1** and **3-2** refer to the applicable sections in the Final ERR for anticipated effects, proposed mitigation measures and monitoring plans.

Table 3-1: Potential Effects and Proposed Mitigation Measures - Construction / Decommissioning

| Category | Potential Effects | Proposed Mitigation Measures |
|---|--|---|
| Soils; Sedimentation and Erosion | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduction in soil quality and quantity due to erosion, sedimentation and compaction resulting from excavation, use of heavy equipment and stockpiling of cleared materials. <p>See Section 6.2.1 (page 128) and Table 6-2 (page 160) of the Final ERR for further detail regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (page 160) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Contaminated Lands | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduction in soil quality due to accidental release of contaminants during construction, heavy equipment and vehicle use, and concrete truck rinsing, etc. Change in soil quality due to disturbance and remediation of existing contaminated land. <p>See Section 6.2.2 (page 130) and Table 6-2 (pages 160-161) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (pages 160-161) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Wildlife (including Avifauna) and Wildlife Habitat | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Habitat change <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disturbance to wildlife due to construction activities, including noise and vibration from sub-surface excavation activities (e.g., blasting). Fragmentation and / or loss of wildlife habitat due to construction. Increased erosion and sedimentation into wildlife habitat resulting from construction / decommissioning activities. Disturbance of topsoil, and increased soil compaction within wildlife habitat from manoeuvring of heavy machinery, and other activity during construction / decommissioning. Damage to wildlife habitat as a result of accidental soil or water contamination (including groundwater) by oils, gasoline, grease, and other materials from construction/decommissioning equipment, and materials storage and handling. Change in surface water drainage patterns or obstruction of lateral flows in surface water to wildlife habitat in wetlands (including the Haines Lake Provincially Significant Wetland (PSW)) resulting from Change in land contours during construction. Change in mortality risk <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disturbance to wildlife due to construction activities, including noise and vibration from sub-surface excavation activities (e.g., blasting). Disturbance and possible mortality to terrestrial wildlife due to vegetation clearing during construction. Mortality to wildlife as a result of vehicles using access roads during construction / decommissioning activities. Change in behaviour <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disturbance to wildlife due to construction activities, including noise and vibration from sub-surface excavation activities (e.g., blasting). <p>See Section 6.2.3 (page 131-133) and Table 6-2 (page 161-163) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (pages 161-163) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |

Table 3-1: Potential Effects and Proposed Mitigation Measures - Construction / Decommissioning

| Category | Potential Effects | Proposed Mitigation Measures |
|--|--|---|
| Vegetation and Ecological Communities, Wetlands and Protected Areas | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change in community and species diversity and change in wetland quantity and function <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Permanent loss of forest cover. Change in surface water drainage patterns or obstruction of lateral flows in surface water to wetlands resulting in effects to soil moisture and species composition of vegetation. Increased erosion and sedimentation resulting from construction activity. Damage to vegetation as a result of soil or water contamination (including groundwater) by oils, gasoline, grease, and other materials from construction equipment, and materials storage and handling during construction / decommissioning activities. Damage to wetland vegetation due to increased dust accumulation. Damage to adjacent vegetation while operating equipment, and the introduction of non-native or weed species during construction / decommissioning activities. <p>See Section 6.2.4 (page 134-136) and Table 6-2 (pages 163-164) of the Final ERR for further detail regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (pages 163-164) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Species at Risk (SAR) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential effects regarding Avian SAR (Chimney Swift, Least Bittern, Bobolink, Eastern Meadowlark and Whip-poor-will), Turtle SAR (Blanding's Turtle), Snake SAR (Eastern Hog-nosed Snake and Massasauga Rattlesnake), Bat SAR (Little Brown Bat, Eastern Small-footed Myotis and Northern Myotis) and Other Mammal SAR (Mountain Lion / Cougar) include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Habitat change <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including possible damage or destruction of SAR residences or habitat Change in behaviour <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Due to disturbance of SAR Change in mortality risk <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including harm <p>See Section 6.2.6 (pages 136-141) and Table 6-2 (pages 164-168) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (pages 164-168) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Fish and Fish Habitat and Rare Aquatic Species | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential for disturbance of aquatic biota (fish and invertebrates) and aquatic habitat during water crossing installation and removal (due to in-water work, alteration to channel bed, banks and riparian areas, due to erosion and sedimentation). Potential for effects on aquatic biota (fish and invertebrates) and aquatic habitat due to accidents and/or spills including fuels, lubricants and concrete washing near waterbodies. Potential for disturbance to fish and fish habitat and change in mortality of fish due to construction blasting and/or vibration (includes disturbance to or mortality of fish eggs or larvae). Potential for changes in mortality of rare aquatic fish species (during works on or adjacent to watercourse banks and riparian areas due to construction blasting and/or vibration (includes disturbance to or mortality of fish eggs or larvae). <p>See Section 6.2.7 (pages 142-144) and Table 6-2 (pages 168-170) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (pages 168-170) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Surface Water | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduction in surface water quality from erosion and sedimentation Reduction in surface water quality due to accidental spills including fuels, lubricants and concrete washing near waterbodies Potential effects on surface water quality and quantity due to dewatering discharge <p>See Section 6.2.8.1 (page 144) and Table 6-2 (pages 170-171) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (pages 170-171) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Groundwater | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduction in groundwater quality due to accidental contaminant spills, vehicle and machinery operation, and concrete truck rinsing. Reduction in groundwater quality (turbidity), quantity and physical damage to groundwater supply wells due to agitation of the subsurface during construction blasting (including potential release of soluble substances used during blasting). Reductions in groundwater recharge quantities due to increases in impervious surfaces. <p>See Section 6.2.8.2 (page 145) and Table 6-2 (pages 171-172) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (pages 171-172) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |

Table 3-1: Potential Effects and Proposed Mitigation Measures - Construction / Decommissioning

| Category | Potential Effects | Proposed Mitigation Measures |
|---|--|---|
| Hazard Lands | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disturbance to hazard lands, including rock and soil instability, due to blasting and use of heavy machinery. <p>See Section 6.2.8.3 (page 146) and Table 6-2 (page 172) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (page 172) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Air Quality | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vehicle and equipment combustion emissions contributing to a reduction in local air quality. Nuisance effects related to dust generated from vehicle access and construction activity contributing to a reduction in local air quality. <p>See Section 6.2.9 (page 147) and Table 6-2 (page 172) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (page 172) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Economic Base | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Positive effect on economic base, specifically for the “construction” and “retail” industries as a result of revenue generation. Positive indirect and induced economic benefits based on an increase of the local workforce for the construction and decommissioning phases. <p>See Section 6.2.11 (page 148) and Table 6-2 (page 172) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (page 172) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Employment and Labour Supply | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Positive effects as jobs are created for local workers. <p>See Section 6.2.11 (page 148) and Table 6-2 (page 173) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (page 173) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Local Businesses, Institutions and Public Facilities | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Positive effect on local businesses (specifically construction suppliers and services). Increased demand for local goods and services. <p>See Section 6.2.11 (page 148) and Table 6-2 (page 173) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (page 173) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Neighbourhood and Community Character | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disturbance to local permanent and seasonal residents due to construction and decommissioning noise and vibration. <p>See Section 6.2.12 (page 149) and Table 6-2 (page 173) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (page 173) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Community Service and Infrastructure | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased demand on medical services in Parry Sound and Sudbury. <p>See Section 6.2.13 (page 150) and Table 6-2 (pages 173-174) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (pages 173-174) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Traffic | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Delays in traffic during construction and decommissioning phases. <p>See Section 6.2.14 (pages 150-151) and Table 6-2 (page 174) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (page 174) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Recreation, Cottaging and Tourism | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Avoidance of recreational areas near Transmission Line due to noise and vibration. Avoidance of recreational areas near Transmission Line due dust. Temporary disruption of access to existing recreational trails that will be used for construction access. <p>See Section 6.2.15 (pages 151-152) and Table 6-2 (page 174) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (page 174) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Public Health and Safety | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased potential for traffic related incidents on Highway 69/400 and regional roads. <p>See Section 6.2.16 (pages 152) and Table 6-2 (page 174) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (page 174) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Non-Renewable Resources | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduction in the licensed area and the quantity of extractable resources. <p>See Section 6.2.17 (page 153) and Table 6-2 (page 174) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (page 174) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Forestry Resources | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loss of harvestable forest resources due to vegetation clearing. <p>See Section 6.2.18 (page 154) and Table 6-2 (page 175) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (page 175) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |

Table 3-1: Potential Effects and Proposed Mitigation Measures - Construction / Decommissioning

| Category | Potential Effects | Proposed Mitigation Measures |
|---|--|---|
| Game and Fishery Resources | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decline in available game resources for recreational hunters due to sensory disturbance of wildlife and loss of wildlife habitat. <p>See Section 6.2.19 (pages 154-155) and Table 6-2 (page 175) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (page 175) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Residential, commercial, Institutional lands | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change in land use on private property. <p>See Section 6.2.20 (page 155) and Table 6-2 (page 175) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (page 175) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Aboriginal Land Use and Resources | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disturbance to current users of traditional lands from construction / decommissioning noise and vibration. Loss of available lands used for Aboriginal traditional activities due to loss of wildlife habitat and disturbance to wildlife. <p>See Section 6.2.22 (page 156) and Table 6-2 (pages 175-176) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (pages 175-176) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Waste | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase in waste material on the landscape. <p>See Section 6.2.23 (page 157) and Table 6-2 (page 176) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (page 176) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Archaeological Resources | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discovery or disturbance to archaeological resources, previously unknown within the Transmission Line study area following Stage 1 and Stage 2 Archaeological Assessments. <p>See Section 6.2.24 (page 158) and Table 6-2 (page 176) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-2 (page 176) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |

Table 3-2: Potential Effects and Proposed Mitigation Measures – Operation

| Category | Potential Effects | Mitigation |
|--|---|--|
| Contaminated Lands | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduction in soil quality due to accidental release of contaminants during operation, etc. <p>See Section 6.2.2 (page 131) and Table 6-3 (page 177) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-3 (page 177) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change in mortality risk <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Possible bird and bat mortality as a result of collision with overhead transmission lines and poles. Possible bird and bat mortality as a result of vegetation removal during routine maintenance of transmission lines or poles. Possible mortality of wildlife as a result of collisions with vehicles using access roads, as well as the maintenance of access roads and transmission line infrastructure. Change in behaviour <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disturbance to wildlife caused by noise from maintenance activities, and possible avoidance of the area. <p>See Section 6.2.3 (pages 133-134) and Table 6-3 (pages 177-178) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-3 (page 177-178) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Vegetation and Ecological Communities | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change in species diversity Change in community diversity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Introduction of invasive species due to increased disturbance / edge effects, loss of vegetation cover as a result of trimming under the Transmission Line. Change in wetland quality and function <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risk of soil or water contamination from oils, gasoline, grease, and other materials during maintenance activities. <p>See Section 6.2.4 (page 136) and Table 6-3 (page 178) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-3 (page 178) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |

Table 3-2: Potential Effects and Proposed Mitigation Measures – Operation

| Category | Potential Effects | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|
| SAR | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential effects regarding Avian SAR (Chimney Swift, Least Bittern, Bobolink and Whip-poor-will), Turtle SAR (Blanding's Turtle), Snake SAR (Eastern Hog-nosed Snake and Massasauga Rattlesnake), Bat SAR (Little Brown Myotis, Eastern Small-footed Myotis and Northern Myotis) and Other Mammal SAR (Mountain Lion / Cougar) include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Habitat change <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Habitat alteration Change in behaviour <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Avoidance behaviour by wildlife due to noise disturbance Change in mortality risk <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continued direct mortality from collision with Transmission Line infrastructure, electrocution and/or collision with vehicles on access roads <p>See Section 6.2.6 (pages 141-142) and Table 6-3 (pages 178-180) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-3 (pages 178-180) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Groundwater | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduction in groundwater quality due to accidental contaminant spills, vehicle and machinery operation during operation. <p>See Section 6.2.8.2 (pages 145-146) and Table 6-3 (page 180) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-3 (page 180) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Fish and Fish Habitat and Rare Aquatic Species | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential for disturbance to fish habitat due to contaminant spills. Potential for obstruction of fish passage in waterbodies due to design of replacement water crossings and debris build-up at watercourses. <p>See Section 6.2.7 (pages 143-144) and Table 6-3 (page 180) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-3 (page 180) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Surface Water | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential effects on surface water quality due to contaminant spills. <p>See Section 6.2.8.1 (page 145) and Table 6-3 (page 181) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-3 (page 180) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Neighbourhood and Community Character | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disturbance to local permanent and seasonal residents due to noise from maintenance activities and noise associated with SS operation. Effect on the visual character of some communities perceived by permanent and seasonal residents on private lands or community spaces within the study area. <p>See Section 6.2.12 (pages 149-150) and Table 6-3 (page 181) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-3 (page 181) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Recreation, Cottaging and Tourism | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disturbance to recreational users, cottagers and tourists due to noise related to maintenance activities and noise related to SS operation. Avoidance of nearby recreational areas by tourists and other recreational users due to the changes to the landscape and views. <p>See Section 6.2.15 (page 152) and Table 6-3 (page 181) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-3 (page 181) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Non-Renewable Resources | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduction in the quantity of prospect extractable resources (future pit / quarry / mineral operations). <p>See Section 6.2.17 (page 153) and Table 6-3 (page 181) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-3 (page 181) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Forestry Resources | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sustainable forest license holder could experience changes to current access (physical and/or administrative) due to the presence of Transmission Line infrastructure. <p>See Section 6.2.18 (page 154) and Table 6-3 (page 182) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-3 (page 182) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Aboriginal Land Use and Resources | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disturbance to users of traditional lands due to noise associated with maintenance and noise associated with the SS. Change in the available lands used for Aboriginal traditional activities and cultural site. <p>See Section 6.2.22 (page 157) and Table 6-3 (page 182) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-3 (page 182) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |

Table 3-2: Potential Effects and Proposed Mitigation Measures – Operation

| Category | Potential Effects | Mitigation |
|--|--|--|
| Waste | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase in waste material on the landscape. <p>See Section 6.2.23 (page 157) and Table 6-3 (page 182) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-3 (page 182) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Built Heritage and Cultural Heritage Landscapes | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change to the cultural heritage landscape character of Moose Lake Trading Post. <p>See Section 6.2.25 (pages 158-159) and Table 6-3 (page 182) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-3 (page 182) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |
| Landscapes and Views | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change to the existing landscape and views as perceived by recreational land / trail users and permanent and seasonal residents and users of the recreational land and trails. <p>See Section 6.2.26 (page 159) and Table 6-3 (page 182) of the Final ERR for further details regarding potential effects.</p> | See Table 6-3 (page 182) of the Final ERR for further details regarding proposed mitigation measures. |

4. Addendum Consultation Requirements

As per the Guide, HIW will distribute a Notice of Filing of Addendum to adjacent landowners and tenants and to all previously involved members of the public and review agencies, including the EA Coordinator at the Regional Office of the Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate change (MOECC). The Notice of Filing of Addendum will also be distributed to all who were notified at the original Notice of Study Completion stage in September 2015. For a complete distribution list, see **Appendix C1 – Stakeholder Contact List** of the Final ERR. The Notice of Filing Addendum will be published at the beginning of the 30 day review period (August 8 to September 8, 2016) and will be available for review on the HIW website (www.henveyinletwind.com) as well as at the HIFN Band Office – 295 Pickerel River Road, Pickerel, Ontario.

The Notice will advise the public and agencies of their right to request that the change to the project be elevated to an individual EA, and advise them that such request must be submitted to the Director of the Environmental Approvals Branch in writing, with a copy sent to HIW, within 30 calendar days. If no request is received by the Director within the 30 day period (August 8 to September 8, 2016), HIW will proceed with implementation and construction subject to any other approval requirements. HIW will keep a copy of the Addendum with all other original project documents required for the life of the project. No work on the Transmission Line will be undertaken that will adversely affect the matters under analysis during the 30 day review period of the Addendum.

5. References

Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change (MOECC), 2011:
Guide to Environmental Assessment Requirements for Electricity Projects. Ontario: Queens Printer.